ESSAY 02 / THE NEED

The down payment is only the beginning

The more clearly we see the full purchase goal, the more useful support can become.

A number can inspire. An incomplete number can surprise.

Imagine someone celebrating a savings milestone. They have reached the down payment target they wrote on a note months earlier. Then they learn about the cash needed for settlement, moving and the period immediately afterward. The achievement was real; the target was incomplete. This is an illustrative situation, not a report about an HBN participant.

HBN's view is that a homeownership benefit should make the whole goal more visible. A person should not have to discover late in the process that the number they have been working toward answers only one part of the question. Clear information cannot replace money, but it can help people understand what money is needed, when and for what purpose.

An example that makes the distinction concrete

The CFPB describes typical closing costs as 2% to 5% of the purchase price, excluding the down payment; actual costs vary. **[3]** Consider a hypothetical $300,000 home with an assumed 3% down payment. That down payment would be $9,000. Applying the CFPB range produces $6,000 to $15,000 of closing costs. The combined illustrative purchase-cash requirement would therefore be $15,000 to $24,000, before other needs.

The 3% assumption is used only to explain the math. It is not a statement that a particular buyer qualifies for that loan structure. The example also excludes moving, immediate repairs and money the buyer wants to retain. A lender's transaction-specific documents and the buyer's own circumstances must replace broad assumptions before a decision is made.

A clearer target creates better questions

Now the conversation can become more useful. Is the employee primarily working toward the down payment, transaction expenses or a reserve afterward? Would additional preparation change the purchase timeline? Is the employer considering a benefit that addresses the same need? HBN wants research to move beyond a general expression of interest and toward these practical distinctions.

That is an encouraging shift. Instead of treating homeownership as one distant dollar figure, we can see a series of decisions. Each can be explained, discussed and tested. The goal remains ambitious, but the questions become specific enough for employees and employers to answer together.

The right support starts with the right definition of the gap.

ESSAY 02 / THE GAP

The timing of support changes its value

An award is not always cash available today

A contribution can sound generous and still arrive at the wrong moment for a particular need. An employee who must provide funds at closing cannot solve that requirement with a payment that arrives afterward. This is a central design question for HBN, not a minor operational detail to handle after a pilot has begun.

The working model under consideration generally places employer payment after verification of a qualifying purchase. Such a payment could support the household after purchase, but it would not itself supply the cash required before the transaction closes. HBN should describe that distinction plainly. A prospective employee should never be encouraged to treat a conditional future benefit as spendable closing cash.

Two different moments, two different questions

Before closing, the question is whether the buyer has the funds and financing required for the transaction. After closing, the question may be how a qualifying employer payment fits into the household's finances. The same nominal benefit can therefore have very different value depending on payment timing and the employee's existing resources.

Fannie Mae's employer-assistance guidance recognizes certain forms of employer support under specified requirements. **[8]** That is useful context, not approval of HBN's model. Any alternative in which assistance would be usable at closing would require lender coordination, appropriate documentation and professional review before HBN could present it as available. Changing payment timing could also change employer commitments and program administration.

Make the payment promise reviewable

A future program should say what triggers eligibility, what evidence is required, who approves payment and how timing is communicated. If an employee leaves the company or changes plans before qualifying, the treatment of the employer's proposed contribution should be understandable. The employee's own savings should remain distinguishable from any conditional employer benefit.

This level of clarity does not diminish the idea. It is what could make the idea dependable. A person making a major financial decision needs more than an attractive headline. They need a benefit description they can discuss with their lender and understand alongside their own plan. HBN's opportunity is to build that clarity into the experience from the beginning.

Useful support has a clear amount, clear conditions and a clear moment of payment.

ESSAY 02 / THE OPPORTUNITY

Build a benefit around the actual shortfall

Learn before selecting the contribution

Suppose two employees each say that another $5,000 would be meaningful. One is close to a purchase but wants more cash left afterward. The other has only begun saving and needs a longer preparation period. The statement is the same; the circumstances are different. This hypothetical comparison shows why a questionnaire should ask about stage and need, not simply whether people like the idea of receiving assistance.

An employer should also understand the limits of its budget. For illustration, ten qualifying payments of $5,000 would total $50,000 in contributions. That arithmetic excludes administration, payroll-related costs and any other program expenses. It is a planning example, not HBN pricing or a proposed employer commitment. The employer would need a fuller cost estimate before choosing program terms.

Connect information to action

HBN could help make the employee's next step visible: clarify the goal, review the proposed employer conditions, identify questions for a qualified professional and understand what must happen before any payment. The employee would remain responsible for the purchase decision. HBN would be exploring an organizing role rather than replacing underwriting, independent advice or the lender's requirements.

A pilot should test whether participants can explain the benefit in their own words. Do they know when it would be paid? Do they distinguish their savings from employer support? Does the assistance address the need they identified? If participants are enthusiastic but misunderstand the timing, the pilot has identified a design problem that needs correction.

Make progress visible without overstating it

There is something powerful about turning a vague ambition into a plan someone can discuss. A benefit could reinforce that progress if its conditions and purpose are clear. But excitement should come from a believable route forward, not from implying that one contribution eliminates every obstacle.

The question for HBN is therefore more interesting than how large a benefit should be. It is how employer support could fit into a realistic journey toward a home. Employees can help by describing where the shortfall is. Employers can help by explaining what is feasible. Together, those answers can shape a benefit whose practical value matches its promise.

Tell us where support would matter, and when it would need to arrive.

Sources & reading notes

The down payment is only the beginning

Citations in the article link to these publisher pages. Sources accessed October 22, 2026; research dates are shown below.

[3] Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

Figure out how much you want to spend

February 18, 2026 page update

Sections 1 and 2: ownership budget, savings cushion and typical closing-cost range excluding the down payment. Transaction-specific costs vary.

[8] Fannie Mae

Selling Guide B3-4.3-08: Employer Assistance

Section dated September 29, 2015; live guidance accessed October 22, 2026

Forms of Employer Assistance and Documentation Requirements. Conditional mortgage guidance, not approval of HBN or a determination that its model complies.

About this perspective

Original HBN commentary. Illustrative scenes and calculations are labeled; they are not testimonials or borrower quotes. The proposed HBN model is under research and development. Independent sources do not establish HBN outcomes or imply endorsement.

Continue the conversation

Nick Malehorn | Founder, Home Benefit Network
786-877-7443 | nick@homebenefitnetwork.com
Visit HBN and choose the employer, employee, or partner and industry expert questionnaire